

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,699	10/09/2006	Holger Scholl	DE03 0225 US	5174
24738 7590 12/10/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS PO BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510-8001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. 10/564.699 SCHOLL, HOLGER Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner

Applicant(s)

	WICHAEL N. OFSASNICK 2020					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address or Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issuins of time may be available unded the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be timely fixed or provided for reply with provided for reply with graphy and with expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, reply reproved by the office alter than the provided for reply with by statute, cause the application to be scored ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any deplate ther molysisment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).					
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	t(s)					

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SDr08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/564,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

 The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(e)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(e)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

Art Unit: 2626

 Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (g) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s): See MPEP § 608.01(f).
 A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) <u>Detailed Description of the Invention:</u> See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (j) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,699 Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

(k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(c).

 Sequence Listing, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences discosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and recuirements of this title.

 Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-11 are directed to a dialoging tracking process/system which does not fall into one of the enumerated four categories of patent eligible subject matter recited in 35 U.S.C. 101 (process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter).

Claims 1-11 are not directed toward:

1) a process (nothing is processed/transformed, - a dialog situational parameter(s) is being tracked, or embedded into software); a statutory "process" under 35 USC 101 must (a) be tied to another statutory category (such as a manufacture or a machine), or (b) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. Claims 1-11 neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively recite structure associated with another statutory category, and therefore do not define a statutory process. – examiner notes that although claims 2,3 mention the use of a speech recognition unit and a speech synthesis unit, the actual active transformation step is not claimed – the current claim language is in "for use in" format:

2) a machine

- i) in claims 1-9, there are no claim elements towards an appropriate apparatus, e.g. the elements of a device that would perform the claim steps.
- ii) Although claims 10-11 recite "a system" type elements, these elements are disclosed in the specification as dialog parameter tracking, and when treated as a whole, claims 10-11 are more toward a non-statutory embodiment and not necessarily a hardware embodiment.
- a manufacture nor a 4) composition of matter (no claim elements pertain to an output product – the claim elements pertain to tracking dialog parameters);

Furthermore, the claims are directed to a method which tracks dialog parameters, and as claimed, do not produce a useful, tangible, and concrete result. If the acts of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the

Page 6

Art Unit: 2626

foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter (Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175, USPQ at 676). Furthermore, claims define nonstatutory processes if they simply manipulate abstract ideas (Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360,31 USPQ2d at 1759). As for guidance to areas of statutory subject matter, see 35 U.S.C. 101 Interim Guidelines (with emphasis of the Clarification of Interim Guidelines For Examination of Patent Applications for Subject Matter Eligibility); as an example, in Alappat, the claimed output smooth waveform (consisted of lighting pixels on an oscilloscope/display) is a useful, concrete, tangible, final result; in Arrhythmia, the claimed useful, concrete, tangible, final result was data output that represented a final share price momentarily fixed for recording and reporting purposes and even accepted and relied upon by regulatory authorities and in subsequent trades.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Porzel et al</u>
 "Toward Context Adaptive Natural Language Processing Systems" pp 1-12).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,699

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 1, <u>Porzel et al</u> teaches a method of controlling a dialoging process in which a current situation parameter is automatically determined and the control of the dialoging process takes place as a function of the situation parameter in such a way that the dialoging process is adapted to the current situation (as controlling dialog – page 3, last two paragraphs; and page 7 top paragraph - tracking changes in situational context).

As per claims 2,8, <u>Porzel et al</u> teaches a method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the dialoging process is embedded in the framework of a speech-controlled application and in that an automatic speech recognition unit is used in the dialoging process (as speech recognition - page 3).

As per claim 3, <u>Porzel et al</u> teaches a method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that a speech synthesizing means is used in the dialoging process (page 4, top of diagram).

As per claim 4, <u>Porzel et al</u> teaches a method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that a current situation profile is determined on the basis of the situation parameter determined and in that the control of the dialoging process takes place as a function of situation profile in such a way that the dialoging process is adapted to the current situation (as adapting the profile based on a changing current situation – page 7, "parsing and Intention Recognition – first two paragraphs).

As per claim 5, <u>Porzel et al</u> teaches a method as claimed in claim 4, characterized in that various situation profiles are assigned to various ranges of situation parameters and in that what is determined as the current situation profile is that situation profile that is assigned to the range of situation parameters in which the situation parameter determined lies (as developing a range based upon representations as well as the user's location. Preferences, etc. – page 8 –query and language translator).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,699

Art Unit: 2626

As per claims 6,7 <u>Porzel et al</u> teaches a method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that a current situation-related value is determined from the situation parameter determined and in that the control of the dialoging process takes place as a function of the situation-related value in such a way that the dialoging process is adapted to the current situation, as well as a system parameter that is generated anyway in the context of the dialoging process for some other purpose (as the dialog is adjusted according to context model, preference, and behavioral constrains – pages 8-9).

As per claim 9, <u>Porzel et al</u> teaches a method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the control of the dialoging process takes place as a function of a situation parameter in such a way that user authentication in a private situation calls for the input of a user data object in a way in which the input is not required in a public situation (as performing the dialog analysis for private – such as driving a car; to public situation – walking down a street, etc. – page 9).

Claims 10,11 are system claims that employ the method steps of claims 1-9 and as such are similar in scope and content to claims 1-9 above; therefore, claims 10,11 are rejected under similar rationale as presented against claims 1-9 above.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,699 Page 9

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unupublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

/Michael N. Opsasnick/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626

12/3/08